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Objectives

• Provide background on the BJA Sexual Assault Kit Initiative (SAKI) including opportunities for addressing campus sexual assault.
• Understand how addressing the sexual assault kit backlog identifies system gaps and helps improve agency response.
• Learn how current research can inform the response to sexual assault including the serial nature of sexual offending.
• Understand the value of assessing agency response to sexual assault for identify themes, gaps, and areas of improvement.
• Identify strategies and recommendations to ensure victim-centered, trauma-informed response to sexual assault.
• Learn how to access SAKI TTA resources in order to implement recommended practices for investigating sexual assaults.
National Sexual Assault Kit Initiative (SAKI):

How can SAKI help your agency with sexual assault response?
The National Sexual Assault Kit Initiative (SAKI)

Leading the Way in Sexual Assault Response Reform

SAKI is a national program designed to support sexual assault response reform, reduce violent crime and improve public safety

• Funded by the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA)
• Create a coordinated community response to cold case sexual assault and other violent crimes
• Build jurisdictional capacity to prevent the accumulation of unsubmitted SAKs in the future and address current cases
• Support the investigation and prosecution of sexual assault and violent crime cases
SAKI Training and Technical Assistance (TTA)

Informing Policy with Evidenced-based Practices

- Address long-term needs including capacity building, interagency coordination and strategic priorities.
- Develop sustainable, evidence-based TTA resources which facilitate the implementation of national recommendations and best practices.

SAKI TTA TEAM

RTI International
AEquitas
International Association of Chief of Police (IACP)
Michigan State University
Joyful Heart Foundation
RAINN
Cadre of Subject Matter Experts
SAKI National Impact

22 Statewide Sites
25 Citywide Sites
12 Countywide Sites
3 Multi-County Sites
1 District

63 SAKI Sites plus a National Reach

Current Total Investment
SAKI Sites  >$177Million
SAKI TTA   > $18 Million
Why SAKI Matters

From September 2015 to December 2019:

• 111,390 SAKs Inventoried
• 61,994 SAKs sent for Testing
• 54,700 SAKs Tested to Completion
• 20,005 DNA Profiles uploaded to CODIS
• 9,892 CODIS Hits (Serial sex offender CODIS hits 1,363)
• 11,398 Investigations
• 1,393 Cases Charged
• 775 Convictions (includes Plea Agreements)
Fundamental Grantee Responsibilities

- Inventory all SAK’s
- Identify testing plan
- Identify/assign resources
- Create victim notification policy
- Create investigative policies and standards
- Create a working group
- Manage your information
SAKI Impact:

State Legislative Response and Reforms

- One-time inventory/audit
- Annual/reoccurring inventory
- Mandatory kit submission
- Tracking of Kits
- Mandatory training
SAKI and Campus Response

- Assess current agency policy and process for SAK
- Management of Evidence
  - SAK: Tracking
  - Transfer/possession
  - Storage/retention
  - Laboratory submission
- Coordination with local law enforcement and Title IX Units
- Inventory/auditing of sexual assault evidence
Improving the Response to Sexual Assault Reduces Violent Crime

Sexual Assault Perpetrators are Serial and Cross-over offenders who commit other Violent Crimes
SAKI Research

• Serial sexual assault offenders
  – Commit a high volume of crime (e.g., small % of offenders commit most offenses
  – Are often “generalists” – rape is one of many crimes they commit (e.g., homicide, domestic violence, theft)
  – Are arrested for more crimes (including rape) on average, than non-serial sexual assault offenders
    ▪ Serial sex offender avg: 9.9 arrests
    ▪ Non serial sex offender avg: 6.6 arrests
• May sexually assault both stranger and non-stranger victims

Content on this slide was provided by Wayne County Prosecutor’s Office (MI), Case Western University Begun Center
Detroit

Tested approximately **12,000** previously unsubmitted SAKS.

- **About 4 in 10** sexual assault offenders (39%) identified as serial sexual offenders
- **Both stranger & non-stranger SAKs are valuable to test** (17% of non-stranger SAKs hit to serial offenders)
- **SAKs past the statute of limitations are valuable to test**

Cuyahoga County (Ohio)

Tested approximately 8,000 previously unsubmitted SAKS

- About 4 in 10 sexual assault offenders (38%) identified as serial sexual offenders\(^1\)
- Serial sex assault offenders averaged 9.5 arrests, which included a variety of violent and non-violent crimes\(^1\)
- Over one-third of crimes committed by serial sex assault offenders occurred after the sexual assault for which a SAK was collected and shelved\(^1\)

---

Types of Crimes Being Committed by SAKI Rapists

- **ARSON**: 2%
- **MURDER**: 7%
- **MV_THEFT**: 20%
- **KIDNAPPING**: 40%
- **RAPE**: 38%
- **BURGLARY**: 42%
- **THEFT**: 38%
- **ROBBERY**: 44%
- **FELONY_ASSAULT**: 53%
- **DV**: 46%
- **FELONY_DRUG**: 64%

Rachel.Lovell@case.edu
begun.case.edu/sak/
Kansas Bureau of Investigation

Tested approximately 2,200 previously unsubmitted SAKS

- SAK testing revealed over 900 named offenders who committed over 7,000 crimes

- As many as 37% of sex assault offenders identified to be serial sexual offenders

- The serial sex offenders in this study committed a total of 3,486 offenses, including murder, robbery, and arson (in addition to rape and sexual assault)

Assessing your Sexual Assault Response through Assessment
Assessment Purpose

- **Goal**: Improve the response to sexual assault and create sustainability
  - Independent and comprehensive review of overall sexual assault response including functionality of the sexual assault unit
  - Identify what’s working and look for areas for improvement

- **ASSESSMENT IS NOT**: *Audit or Inspection*
Assessment Team

Investigator

Victim advocate

Research Criminologist

SAKI Site Liaison
Assessment Approach

• Voluntary assessment
• Gather information
  – Interview internal and external personnel
  – Review policies and procedures
  – Conduct investigative case file review
• Observe physical environment
• Deliver recommendations, final report, and briefing
Personnel Interviews and Policy Review

• **Internal personnel** – patrol, investigators, supervisors, crime analysts, advocates (Campus: Title IX, administrations, support services)

• **External personnel** – prosecution, sexual assault nurses, crime laboratory, community advocates (Campus: local LE, medical services)

• **Policy/Procedure review** – internal policies, policies of partner agencies, procedures, and Memorandums of Understanding
Investigative Case File Review

• SAiBR database for data entry
  – Over 200 data fields collected*
  – Representative sample of sexual assault cases
• NDA and data security processes established

*Areas categorized: *case demographics, case management, victim interaction, case evidence, investigative follow up and assessment*
Final Report Issued

Overall Response, Strengths, Gaps

Recommendations: policy, response, and investigations

Final observations and implementation strategies
Examples:

Investigation Recommendations

Strengthen investigative practices:

- Interviewing of victims/witnesses/suspects to include recording
- Increase use of social media and digital evidence
- Employ controlled calls strategy
- Establish and ensure consistent case disposition/closure documentation
- Develop new detective on boarding and mentoring program
SAKI Sexual Assault Unit Assessments - Campus

- CONSIDERATIONS
- BENEFITS
- PROCESS
- FINAL REPORTING
Observations based on previous assessments
Observations

Specialized Units
- Agencies have assigned personnel to specialized units
- Some agencies have specialized prosecution personnel

Victim-Centered Response
- Agencies are incorporating some practices that align with this approach

Workload Impacts
- Workload assigned to personnel directly impacts the quality of the investigation
Observations

**Partnerships**
- Inter-disciplinary relationships need to be formalized
- Use of other skilled professionals is minimal

**Standards**
- Case follow-up practices are inconsistent
- Documentation practices are inconsistent

**Oversight**
- Opportunities exist to improve quality of oversight
## Cross-Agency Case Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suspects</td>
<td>- Majority of suspects were known to the victims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance/Incapacity</td>
<td>- 1/3 of cases involved alcohol or drugs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- 1/4 of cases involved incapacitated victims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>- Assaults more likely to occur at residence of victim</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cross-Agency Case Averages

**Crime Scene**
- 44% located
- 29% processed

**SAKs**
- 52% collected
- 45% submitted for testing

**Witnesses**
- 43% of witnesses interviewed
Cross-Agency Case Averages

**Suspects**

- 58% contacted
- 15% arrested

**Prosecution**

- 52% of cases submitted to prosecution

**Outcomes**

- Charges filed – range 69% H - 2% L
- 3% cases unfounded
Key Areas for Improvement

Policies
• Written policies and practices need to be strengthened and institutionalized

Training
• Consistent and mandatory specialized training to personnel needs to occur

Resources
• Internal and external partnerships need to be enhanced and consistently utilized
Key Areas for Improvement

**Staffing**
- Adequate staffing levels need to be established and maintained

**Standards**
- Consistent industry standards of investigative practice need to be implemented

**Review**
- Skilled supervision needs to be prioritized and include consistent quality control in case review
How can we use what we know from SAKI?

- Invest in your Unit-Resources
- Develop Critical Skills
- Establish Standards/Policies
- Supervisory Oversight and Accountability
- Foster Positive Relationships
- Workloads and Health
SAKI TTA provides resources, recommendations, assessments and guidance

SAKITTA.org

Toolkit Virtual Academy Webinars Training

Medical History and Law Enforcement Interviews: Separate and Collaborative

Introduction
In an effort to coordinate services and minimize the need for multiple interviews, some jurisdictions allow a law enforcement officer to be present while the sexual assault nurse examiner (SANE) takes the patient's medical history. Despite the good intentions behind this practice, it is generally not recommended in cases involving adult victims for a variety of reasons. The victim's decision to engage with law enforcement and report the crime to police should remain separate from the decision to receive health care. Whether or not a victim reports to law enforcement and participates in the criminal justice process, the patient-victim should be able to receive health care and a forensic examination, and to speak confidentially with treating health care professionals.

The National Sexual Assault Kit Initiative’s Training and Technical Assistance team (SAKI TTA), therefore, recommends that the SANE’s medical forensic history of the patient (including psychosocial), medical, and history of the incident) remain separate from the law enforcement interview and report. This SAKITTA resource describes the separate roles and responsibilities of a law enforcement officer as investigator and a SANE as a health care provider. This resource also discusses the importance of affording the patient privacy and focusing on medical concerns throughout the sexual assault examination, and keeping health care information separate from the information shared during a law enforcement interview.

The Roles of Sexual Assault Responders

SANE Patient History
A sexual assault medical forensic exam is a medical examination performed by the health care provider of a patient who has been sexually assaulted. The examination is referred to as the “medical forensic examination” under the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), and is ideally performed by a SANE or a sexual assault forensic examiner (SAFE) (even if both types of professionals are collectively referred to as SANE). The SANE has specific training and experience in both the treatment of sexual assault patients and the collection of forensic evidence.

The SANE’s primary role is to conduct a patient-centered medical examination. To do so, a patient history, including information the patient shares about the sexual assault, is collected to guide the medical forensic examination. Medical history, generally, is a key component of the medical forensic examination because it guides the physical examination and medical treatment for the patient-victim by allowing the patient to share in a safe environment what happened to them. This critical part of the examination obtains information essential for medical diagnosis and treatment. The SANE documents biological and physical findings and, with permission, collects evidence from the patient-victim. The SANE may also make notes for follow-up care, including evaluation and treatment for sexually transmitted infections (STIs), pregnancy, care of injuries, counseling, suicidal ideation, interpersonal violence issues, alcohol and substance abuse, and other nonacute medical concerns.

Law Enforcement Interview
When responding to a sexual assault call for service, law enforcement’s primary responsibility is to gather the greatest amount of information possible while minimizing victim retraumatization. Law enforcement’s purpose—when using a trauma-informed, victim-centered approach—is to learn what happened and to obtain information about witnesses and other evidence. The courts have ruled such interviews to be investigatory in nature. “This initial interview by a member of law enforcement may be conducted at the hospital where the sexual assault victim receives a sexual assault medical forensic examination.” However, any interactions that the law enforcement officer and the SANE have with the victim are clear and separate goals. When both law enforcement and medical personnel are together with the victim, in an initial interaction or after the medical examination, it is critical that the goals of the law enforcement and medical teams remain independent.

Separate and Collaborative
Maintaining a separate interview process ensures that the victim receives the most thorough patient-centered medical care. Additionally, this approach safeguards the integrity of the case as it moves through the criminal justice system.
Any Questions
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